## **Quarterly Reporting Template - Guidance**

#### Notes for Completion

The data collection template requires the Health & Wellbeing Board to track through the high level metrics and deliverables from the Health & Wellbeing Board Better Care Fund plan

The completed return will require sign off by the Health & Wellbeing Board.

A completed return must be submitted to the Better Care Support Team inbox (england.bettercaresupport@nhs.net) by midday on 27th May 2016.

#### The BCF O4 Data Collection

This Excel data collection template for Q4 2015-16 focuses on budget arrangements, the national conditions, non-elective admissions, income and expenditure to and from the fund, and performance on BCF metrics.

To accompany the quarterly data collection Health & Wellbeing Boards are required to provide a written narrative into the final tab to contextualise the information provided in this report and build on comments included elsewhere in the submission. This should include an overview of progress with your BCF plan, the wider integration of health and social care services, and a consideration of any variances against planned performance trajectories or milestones.

## Cell Colour Key

## Data needs inputting in the cell

Pre-populated cells

#### Question not relevant to you

Throughout this template cells requiring a numerical input are restricted to values between 0 and 100,000,000.

#### Contont

The data collection template consists of 9 sheets:

Checklist - This contains a matrix of responses to questions within the data collection template.

- 1) Cover Sheet this includes basic details and tracks question completion.
- 2) Budget arrangements this tracks whether Section 75 agreements are in place for pooling funds.
- 3) National Conditions checklist against the national conditions as set out in the Spending Review.
- 4) Income and Expenditure this tracks income into, and expenditure from, pooled budgets over the course of the year.
- 5) Non-Elective Admissions this tracks performance against NEL ambitions.
- 6) Supporting Metrics this tracks performance against the two national metrics, locally set metric and locally defined patient experience metric in BCF plans.
- 7) Year End Feedback a series of questions to gather feedback on impact of the BCF in 2015-16
- 8) New Integration metrics additional questions on new metrics that are being developed to measure progress in developing integrated, cooridnated, and person centred care
- 9) Narrative this allows space for the description of overall progress on BCF plan delivery and performance against key indicators.

## Checklist

This sheet contains all the validations for each question in the relevant sections.

All validations have been coloured so that if a value does not pass the validation criteria the cell will be Red and contain the word "No" and if they pass validation they will be coloured Green and contain the word "Yes".

## 1) Cover Sheet

On the cover sheet please enter the following information:

The Health and Well Being Board

Who has completed the report, email and contact number in case any queries arise

Please detail who has signed off the report on behalf of the Health and Well Being Board.

Question completion tracks the number of questions that have been completed, when all the questions in each section of the template have been completed the cell will turn green. Only when all 9 cells are green should the template be sent to england.bettercaresupport@nhs.net

## 2) Budget Arrangements

This plays back to you your response to the question regarding Section 75 agreements from the previous quarterly submissions and requires 2 questions to be answered. Please answer as at the time of completion. If you answered 'Yes' previously the 2 further questions are not applicable and are not required to be answered.

If your previous submission stated that the funds had not been pooled via a Section 75 agreement, can you now confirm that they have? If the answer to the above is 'No' please indicate when this will happen

## 3) National Conditions

This section requires the Health & Wellbeing Board to confirm whether the six national conditions detailed in the Better Care Fund Planning Guidance have been met through the delivery of your plan (http://www.england.nhs.uk/ourwork/part-rel/transformation-fund/bcf-plan/). Please answer as at the time of completion.

It sets out the six conditions and requires the Health & Wellbeing Board to confirm 'Yes', 'No' or 'No - In Progress' that these have been met. Should 'No' or 'No - In Progress' be selected, please provide an explanation as to why the condition was not met within the year (in-line with signed off plan) and how this is being addressed.

Full details of the conditions are detailed at the bottom of the page.

## 4) Income and Expenditure

This tracks income into, and expenditure from, pooled budgets over the course of the year. This requires provision of the following information:

Forecasted income into the pooled fund for each quarter of the 2015-16 financial year Confirmation of actual income into the pooled fund in Q1 to Q4
Forecasted expenditure from the pooled fund for each quarter of the 2015-16 financial year Confirmation of actual expenditure from the pooled fund in Q1 to Q4

Figures should reflect the position by the end of each quarter. It is expected that the total planned income and planned expenditure figures for 2015-16 should equal the total pooled budget for the Health and Wellbeing Board.

There is also an opportunity to provide a commentary on progress which should include reference to any deviation from plan or amendments to forecasts made since the previous quarter.

#### 5) Non-Elective Admissions

This section tracks performance against NEL ambitions. The latest figures for planned activity are provided. One figure is to be input and one narrative box is to be completed:

Input actual Q4 2015-16 Non-Elective Admissions performance (i.e. number of NEAs for that period) - Cell P8 Narrative on the full year NEA performance

## 6) Supporting Metrics

This tab tracks performance against the two national supporting metrics, the locally set metric, and the locally defined patient experience metric submitted in approved BCF plans. In all cases the metrics are set out as defined in the approved plan for the HWB and the following information is required for each metric:

An update on indicative progress against the four metrics for Q4 2015-16

Commentary on progress against the metric

If the information is not available to provide an indication of performance on a measure at this point in time then there is a drop-down option to indicate this. Should a patient experience metric not have been provided in the original BCF plan or previous data returns there is an opportunity to state the metric that you are now using.

#### 7) Year End Feedback

This tab provides an opportunity to provide give additional feedback on your progress in delivering the BCF in 2015-16 through a number of survey questions. The purpose of this survey is to provide an opportunity for local areas to consider the impact of the first year of the BCF and to feed this back to the national team review the overall impact across the country. There are a total of 12 questions. These are set out below.

#### Part 1 - Delivery of the Better Care Fund

There are a total of 10 questions in this section. Each is set out as a statement, for which you are asked to select one of the following responses:

- Strongly Disagree
- Agree
- Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- Disagree
- Strongly Disagree

#### The questions are:

- 1. Our BCF schemes were implemented as planned in 2015-16
- 2. The delivery of our BCF plan in 2015-16 had a positive impact the integration of health and social care in our locality
- 3. The delivery of our BCF plan in 2015-16 had a positive impact in avoiding Non-Elective Admissions
- 4. The delivery of our BCF plan in 2015-16 had a positive impact in reducing the rate of Delayed Transfers of Care
- 5. The delivery of our BCF plan in 2015-16 had a positive impact in reducing the proportion of older people (65 and over) who were still at home 91 days after discharge from hospital into reablement / rehabilitation services
- 6. The delivery of our BCF plan in 2015-16 had a positive impact in reducing the rate of Permanent admissions of older people (aged 65 and over) to residential and nursing care homes
- 7. The overall delivery of our BCF plan in 2015-16 has improved joint working between health and social care in our locality
- 8. The implementation of a pooled budget through a Section 75 agreement in 2015-16 has improved joint working between health and social care in our locality
- 9. The implementation of risk sharing arrangements through the BCF in 2015-16 has improved joint working between health and social care in our locality
- 10. The expenditure from the fund in 2015-16 has been in line with our agreed plan

## Part 2 - Successes and Challenges

There are a total of 2 questions in this section, for which up to three responses are possible. The questions are:

- 11. What have been your greatest successes in delivering your BCF plan for 2015-16?
- 12. What have been your greatest challenges in delivering your BCF plan for 2015-16?

These are free text responses, but should be assigned to one of the following categories (as used for previous BCF surveys):

- 1. Leading and managing successful Better Care Fund implementation
- 2. Delivering excellent on the ground care centred around the individual
- 3. Developing underpinning, integrated datasets and information systems
- 4. Aligning systems and sharing benefits and risks
- 5. Measuring success
- 6. Developing organisations to enable effective collaborative health and social care working relationships
- 7. Other please use the comment box to provide details

## 8) New Integration Metrics

This tab includes a handful of new metrics designed with the intention of gathering some detailed intelligence on local progress against some key elements of person-centred, co-ordinated care. Following feedback from colleagues across the system these questions have been modified from those that appeared in the last BCF Quarterly Data Collection Template (Q2 / Q3 2015-16). Nonetheless, they are still in draft form, and the Department of Health are keen to receive feedback on how they could be improved / any complications caused by the way that they have been posed.

For the question on progress towards instillation of Open APIs, if an Open API is installed and live in a given setting, please state 'Live' in the 'Projected 'go-live' date field.

For the question on use and prevalence of Multi-Disciplinary/Integrated Care Teams please choose your answers based on the proportion of your localities within which Multi-Disciplinary/Integrated Care Teams are in use.

For the PHB metric, areas should include all age groups, as well as those PHBs that form part of a jointly-funded package of care which may be administered by the NHS or by a partner organisation on behalf of the NHS (e.g. local authority). Any jointly funded personal budgets that include NHS funding are automatically counted as a personal health budget. We have expanded this definition following feedback received during the Q3 reporting process, and to align with other existing PHB data collections.

## 9) Narrative

In this tab HWBs are asked to provide a brief narrative on year-end overall progress, reflecting on a first full year of the BCF, with reference to the information provided within this and previous quarterly returns.

## Better Care Fund Template Q4 2015/16

Patient experience metric

## **Data collection Question Completion Checklist**

1. Cover Who has signed off the report on behalf of the Health and Well Being Board: ealth and Well Being Board ompleted by: 2. Budget Arrangements Funds pooled via a S.75 pooled budget, by Q4? If no, date provide 3. National Conditions 3) Are the 7 day services to support patients being discharged and prevent unnecessary admission at weekends in place and delivering?

4. The support of th 5) Is a joint approach to assessments and care planning taking place and where funding is being used for integrated packages of care, is there an accountable iii) Are the appropriate Information Governance controls in place for information sharing in line 6) Is an agreement on the consequential impact of changes in the acute sector in place? ii) Are you pursuing open APIs (i.e. systems that speak to each other)? ) Is the NHS Number being used as the primary identifier 1) Are the plans still jointly 2) Are Social Care Services (not vith Caldicott 2? Please Select (Yes, No or No - In Progress) Progress)
If the answer is "No" or "No - In
Progress" please provide an
explanation as to why the condition
was not met within the year (in-line
with signed off plan) and how this is
being addressed? 4. I&E (2 parts) Please comment if there is a difference between the annual totals and the pooled fund Forecast
Forecast
Actual
Actual
Commentary
Commentary 5. Non-Elective Admissions Comments on the full year NEA ual Q4 15/16 6. Supporting Metrics Please provide an update on indicative progress against the metric? Admissions to residential Care Please provide an update on indicative progress against the metric? Reablement Please provide an update on indicative progress against the metric? Local performance metric Please provide an update on indicative progress against the metric?

## 7. Year End Feedback

Statement:	Response:
1. Our BCF schemes were	
implemented as planned in 2015-16	Yes
2. The delivery of our BCF plan in	
2015-16 had a positive impact on the	
integration of health and social care	
in our locality  3. The delivery of our BCF plan in	Yes
2015-16 had a positive impact in	
avoiding Non-Elective Admissions	Yes
The delivery of our BCF plan in	162
2015-16 had a positive impact in	
reducing the rate of Delayed	
Transfers of Care	Yes
5. The delivery of our BCF plan in	
2015-16 had a positive impact in	
reducing the proportion of older	
people (65 and over) who were still	
at home 91 days after discharge from	
hospital into reablement /	W
rehabilitation services 6. The delivery of our BCF plan in	Yes
2015-16 had a positive impact in	
reducing the rate of Permanent	
admissions of older people (aged 65	
and over) to residential and nursing	
care homes	Yes
7. The overall delivery of our BCF	
plan in 2015-16 has improved joint	
working between health and social	
care in our locality	Yes
8. The implementation of a pooled	
budget through a Section 75	
agreement in 2015-16 has improved	
joint working between health and	
social care in our locality	Yes
<ol><li>The implementation of risk sharing</li></ol>	
arrangements through the BCF in	
2015-16 has improved joint working	
between health and social care in	
our locality	Yes
<ol><li>The expenditure from the fund in</li></ol>	
2015-16 has been in line with our	
agreed plan	Yes
11. What have been your greatest	
successes in delivering your BCF plan	
for 2015-16?	Response and category
Success 1	Yes
Success 2	Yes
Success 3	Yes
12. What have been your greatest	
challenges in delivering your BCF	
plan for 2015-16?	Response and category
Challenge 1	Yes

#### 8 New Integration Metrics

	GP	Hospital	Social Care	Community	Mental health	Specialised palliative
NHS Number is used as the	Gi	Hospital	Joelai Care	Community	Wichten Health	Specialisea pallacive
consistent identifier on all relevant						
correspondence relating to the						
provision of health and care services						
o an individual	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Staff in this setting can retrieve						
elevant information about a service						
user's care from their local system						
using the NHS Number	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	I		I	1		
	To GP	To Hospital	To Social Care	To Community	To Mental health	To Specialised palliative
From GP	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
From Hospital	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
From Social Care	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	V	V.	Yes	Yes	Yes	W
From Community	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	res	Yes
rom Mental Health	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
rom Specialised Palliative	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Totti Specialiseu Fallative	163	163	163	163	ies	163
	GP	Hospital	Social Care	Community	Mental health	Specialised palliative
Progress status	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes Yes
TOGICSS Status	163	les .	163	les	163	ies
Projected 'go-live' date (mm/yy)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
rrojected go-live date (mm/yy)	res	Yes	res	Yes	res	res

Projected 'go-live' date (mm/yy)	Yes
Is there a Digital Integrated Care	
Record pilot currently underway in	
your Health and Wellbeing Board	
area?	Yes
Total number of PHBs in place at the	
end of the quarter	Yes
Number of new PHBs put in place	
during the quarter	Yes
Number of existing PHBs stopped	
during the quarter	Yes
Of all residents using PHBs at the	
end of the quarter, what proportion	
are in receipt of NHS Continuing	
Healthcare (%)	Yes
Healtitale (%)	res
	J
Are integrated care teams (any team	
comprising both health and social	
care staff) in place and operating in	
the non-acute setting?	Yes
Are integrated care teams (any team	
comprising both health and social	
care staff) in place and operating in	
the acute setting?	Yes
	='

9. Narrativ

Brief Narrative Yes

# Cover

## Q4 2015/16

Health and Well Being Board	Isle of Wight
completed by:	Catherine Budden
E-Mail:	catherine.budden@iow.nhs.uk
	outremendadene to ministan
Contact Number:	01983 552346
Who has signed off the report on behalf of the Health and Well Being Board:	John Rivers / Steve Stubbings

Question Completion - when all questions have been answered and the validation boxes below have turned green you should send the template to england.bettercaresupport@nhs.net saving the file as 'Name HWB' for example 'County Durham HWB'

	No. of questions answered
1. Cover	5
2. Budget Arrangements	1
3. National Conditions	16
4. I&E	19
5. Non-Elective Admissions	2
6. Supporting Metrics	9
7. Year End Feedback	16
8. New Integration Metrics	67
9. Narrative	1

# **Budget Arrangements**

Have the funds been pooled via a s.75 pooled budget?

If it had not been previously stated that the funds had been pooled can you now confirm that they have now?

If the answer to the above is 'No' please indicate when this will happen (DD/MM/YYYY)

## **Footnotes:**

Source: For the S.75 pooled budget question, which is pre-populated, the data is from a previous quarterly collection returned by the HWB.

## **National Conditions**

le of Wight		

The Spending Round established six national conditions for access to the Fund.

Please confirm by selecting 'Yes', 'No' or 'No - In Progress' against the relevant condition as to whether these have been met, as per your final BCF plan.

Further details on the conditions are specified below.

If 'No' or 'No - In Progress' is selected for any of the conditions please include an explanation as to why the condition was not met within the year (in-line with signed off plan) and how this is being addressed?

	Q4 Submission	Q1 Submission	Q2 Submission	Q3 Submission	Please Select (Yes	If the answer is 'No', please provide an explanation as to why the condition was not met within the year (in-
Condition	Response	Response	Response	Response	or No)	line with signed off plan) and how this is being addressed?
					Yes	
1) Are the plans still jointly agreed?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
					Yes	
2) A C (		v	V	V		
2) Are Social Care Services (not spending) being protected?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Good Progress has been made although some 7 day services are in development. Services in place: 7 day a weel
3) Are the 7 day services to support patients being discharged and prevent					140	reablement; 7 day a week night sitting service in development; 7 day a week physio services; 7 day a week
unnecessary admission at weekends in place and delivering?	No - In Progress		'communications hub'; 7 day a week GP; 7 day a week Crisis Response service; District nurses; Wightcare; respite			
4) In respect of data sharing - please confirm:	140 III TOGICSS	NO III TOGICSS	NO III TOGICSS	140 III TOGICSS		Communications hab , 7 day a week or , 7 day a week or sis nesponse service, bistrict harses, wightener, respita
Threspect of data stating prease committee					Yes	
i) Is the NHS Number being used as the primary identifier for health and care services?	No - In Progress					
	, in the second	Ĭ		Ť	Yes	
ii) Are you pursuing open APIs (i.e. systems that speak to each other)?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
					Yes	
iii) Are the appropriate Information Governance controls in place for information						
sharing in line with Caldicott 2?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
5) Is a joint approach to assessments and care planning taking place and where					Yes	
funding is being used for integrated packages of care, is there an accountable						
professional?	No - In Progress	No - In Progress	Yes	Yes		
					Yes	
6) Is an agreement on the consequential impact of changes in the acute sector in						
place?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		

#### National conditions - Guidance

The Spending Round established six national conditions for access to the Fund:

#### 1) Plans to be jointly agreed

The Better Care Fund Plan, covering a minimum of the pooled fund specified in the Spending Round, and potentially extending to the totality of the health and wellbeing Board area, should be signed off by the Health and Wellbeing Board itself, and by the constituent Councils and Clinical Commissioning Groups. In agreeing the plan, CCGs and councils should engage with all providers likely to be affected by the use of the fund in order to achieve the best outcomes for local people. They should develop a shared view of the future shape of services. This should include an assessment of future capacity and workforce requirements across the system. The implications for local providers should be set out clearly for Health and Wellbeing Boards so that their agreement for the deployment of the fund includes recognition of the service change consequences.

#### 2) Protection for social care services (not spending)

Local areas must include an explanation of how local adult social care services will be protected within their plans. The definition of protecting services is to be agreed locally. It should be consistent with 2012 Department of Health guidance to NHS England on the funding transfer from the NHS to social care in 2013/14: https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\_data/file/213223/Funding-transfer-from-the-NHS-to-social-care-in-2013-14.pdf

#### 3) As part of agreed local plans, 7-day services in health and social care to support patients being discharged and prevent unnecessary admissions at weekends

Local areas are asked to confirm how their plans will provide 7-day services to support patients being discharged and prevent unnecessary admissions at weekends. If they are not able to provide such plans, they must explain why. There will not be a nationally defined level of 7-day services to exprovided. This will be for local determination and agreement. There is clear evidence that many patients are not discharged from hospital at weekends when they are clinically fit to be discharged because the supporting services are not available to facilitate it. The recent national review of urgent and emergency care sponsored by Sir Bruce Keogh for NHS England provided guidance on establishing effective 7-day services within existing resources.

#### 4) Better data sharing between health and social care, based on the NHS number

The safe, secure sharing of data in the best interests of people who use care and support is essential to the provision of safe, seamless care. The use of the NHS number as a primary identifier is an important element of this, as is progress towards systems and processes that allow the safe and timely sharing of information. It is also vital that the right local areas should:

- confirm that they are using the NHS Number as the primary identifier for health and care services, and if they are not, when they plan to;
- confirm that they are pursuing open APIs (i.e. systems that speak to each other); and
- ensure they have the appropriate Information Governance controls in place for information sharing in line with Caldicott 2, and if not, when they plan for it to be in place.

NHS England has already produced guidance that relates to both of these areas. (It is recognised that progress on this issue will require the resolution of some Information Governance issues by DH).

#### 5) Ensure a joint approach to assessments and care planning and ensure that, where funding is used for integrated packages of care, there will be an accountable professional

Local areas should identify which proportion of their population will be receiving case management and a lead accountable professional, and which proportions will be receiving self-management help - following the principles of person-centred care planning. Dementia services will be a particularly important priority for better integrated health and social care services, supported by accountable professionals. The Government has set out an ambition in the Mandate that GPs should be accountable for co-ordinating patient-centred care for older people and those with complex needs.

#### 6) Agreement on the consequential impact of changes in the acute sector

Local areas should identify, provider-by-provider, what the impact will be in their local area, including if the impact goes beyond the acute sector. Assurance will also be sought on public and patient and service user engagement in this planning, as well as plans for political buy-in. Ministers have indicated that, in line with the Mandate requirements on achieving parity of esteem for mental health, plans must not have a negative impact on the level and quality of mental health services.

#### Footnotes

Source: For each of the condition questions which are pre-populated, the data is from the quarterly data collections previously returned by the HWB.

Plan, forecast, and actual figures for total income into, and total expenditure from, the fund for each quarter to year end (in both cases the yearend figures should equal the total pooled fund)

Selected Health and Well Being Board: Isle of Wight Previously returned data: Q1 2015/16 Q2 2015/16 Q3 2015/16 Q4 2015/16 Pooled Fund Annual Total £20,607,132 Plan £22,016,754 £0 £0 £0 £22,016,754 Please provide, plan, forecast, and actual, of total income into Forecast £22,016,754 £0 £0 £0 £22,016,754 the fund for each quarter to year end (the year figures should egual the total pooled fund) Actual\* £22.016.75 £C Q4 2015/16 Amended Data: Q1 2015/16 Q2 2015/16 Q3 2015/16 Q4 2015/16 Pooled Fund £22,016,75 £0 £22,016,754 Please provide, plan, forecast and actual of total income into Forecast £22,016,75 £( £22,016,754 the fund for each quarter to year end (the year figures should equal the total pooled fund) Actual\* £22,016,75 £0 £0 £23,48 £22.040.239 Please comment if there is a difference between the forecasted / actual annual totals and the pooled fund Final budget adjustments agreed to reflect minor changes in contract values Expenditure Previously returned data: Q3 2015/16 Q1 2015/16 Q2 2015/16 Q4 2015/16 Annual Total Pooled Fund Plan £5,202,230 £5,202,230 £5,806,147 £22,016,754 Please provide, plan, forecast, and actual of total income into £5,202,230 £5,446,731 £5,683,896 £5,683,897 £22,016,754 Forecast the fund for each quarter to year end (the year figures should £4,785,516 £5,499,312 equal the total pooled fund) Actual\* £5,400,707 Q4 2015/16 Amended Data: Q1 2015/16 Q2 2015/16 Q3 2015/16 Q4 2015/16 Pooled Fund Plan £5,202,230 £5,202,230 £5,806,147 £5,806,147 £22,016,754 £20.607.132 Please provide, plan, forecast and actual of total expenditure Forecast £5,446,73 £22,016,754 from the fund for each quarter to year end (the year figures Actual\* £21,259,197 should equal the total pooled fund) £5,400,707 £4,785,516 £5,499,312 £5,573,662 Please comment if there is a difference between the forecasted actual annual totals and the pooled fund Underspend on the pooled fund of £781,042 due to slippage in schemes largely associated with delays in staff recruitment

As above, underspend in 2015/16 is predominently down to delays in staff recruitment, resulting in an underspend against plan of 3.5% through

## Footnotes:

Commentary on progress against financial plans

Source: For the pooled fund which is pre-populated, the data is from a quarterly collection previously filled in by the HWB.

2015/16

<sup>\*</sup>Actual figures should be based on the best available information held by Health and Wellbeing Boards.

## Non-Elective Admissions

Selected Health and Well Being Board: Isle of Wight

		Baseline			Plan			Actual							
		Q4 13/14	Q1 14/15	Q2 14/15	Q3 14/15	Q4 14/15	Q1 15/16	Q2 15/16	Q3 15/16	Q4 15/16	Q4 14/15	Q1 15/16	Q2 15/16	Q3 15/16	Q4 15/16
Ī	D. REVALIDATED: HWB version of plans to														
	be used for future monitoring. Please insert														
į	into Cell P8	2,819	2,875	2,977	3,123	3,032	2,844	2,887	3,062	3,026	3,131	2,842	2,824	3,158	3,099

	The majority of the Isle of Wight population's non elective activity is provided by the IOW NHS Trust. In general, off-Island non elective activity relates to more specialised and tertiary care. Therefore, pathway
	development to target reduction in admissions focuses on the general activity undertaken on the Island.
	The non-elective activity for general and acute (G & A) specialities specifically at the IOW NHS Trust has been reducing from a peak in 2012/13, contributing to this achievement have been the developments and
	innovative initiatives delivered locally in the BCF.
Please provide comments around your full	There has been a reduction in actual non-elective admissions from 12,106 in Q1-Q4 2014/15, to 11,923 in Q1-Q4 2015/16.

#### Footnotes:

year NEA performance

Source: For the Baselines and Plans which are pre-populated, the data is from the Better Care Fund Revised Non-Elective Targets - Q4 Playback and Final Re-Validation of Baseline and Plans Collection previously filled in by the HWB. This includes all data received from HWBs, as of 26th February 2016.

## National and locally defined metrics

Selected Health and Well Being Board:	Isle of Wight
Admissions to residential Care	% Change in rate of permanent admissions to residential care per 100,000
Please provide an update on indicative progress against the metric?	On track to meet target
	Permanent residential admissions continue to be closely monitored and managed to maintain peformance levels
Commentary on progress:	and to continue to meet the target.
Reablement	Change in annual percentage of people still at home after 91 days following discharge, baseline to 2015/16
Please provide an update on indicative progress against the metric?	On track to meet target
	The reablement measure only reports on theindividuals who receive this service on discharge from hospital. This
	measure continues to perform well and remains on track to meet the target. However, this is only part of the reablement story and there is a high level of provision of successful reablement through cases referred from the
Commentary on progress:	community.
	Reduction in Community Occupational Therapy waiting time in weeks to First Assessment (95% Percentile).
Local performance metric as described in your approved BCF plan / Q1 / Q2 / Q3 return	BCF and other Schemes
Please provide an update on indicative progress against the metric?	No improvement in performance
	Performance improved during period of investment to within target parameters.
	Unable to sustain target parameters due to capacity under demand.
Commentary on progress:	Some reduction in pressure on capacity through implemented new ways of working.  But as no new investment to enable capacity to match growing demand (and complexity of demand) waiting
Commentary on progress.	but as no new investment to enable capacity to match growing demand (and complexity of demand) waiting
Local defined patient experience metric as described in your approved BCF plan / Q1 /Q2 return	Overall satisfaction of people who use services with their care and support ( ASCOF 3a)
If no local defined patient experience metric has been specified, please give details of the local defined	oreign substitution of people with use services with their cure und support (1/500). Su
patient experience metric now being used.	
Please provide an update on indicative progress against the metric?	On track to meet target
	This is a national measure - reported annually. The data for 2015/16 has been submitted to the HSCIC. The data
	is currently restricted from publication by the HSCIC however, we can advise that the reported figures show that
Commentary on progress:	measure is on track to meet the target for 2015/16 and we will be able to publish the full results when the restriction is removed.
· · · · ·	

## Footnotes:

Source: For the local performance metric which is pre-populated, the data is from a local performance metric collection previously filled in by the HWB. For the local defined patient experience metric which is pre-populated, the data is from a local patient experience previously filled in by the HWB.

## Year End Feedback on the Better Care Fund in 2015-16

There has been slippage in some of the schemes.

	Isle of Wight							
Selected Health and Well Being Board:								
Part 1: Delivery of the Better Care Fund								
Please use the below form to indicate what extent you agree with the following	owing statements and then detail	any further supporting information in the corresponding comment boxes						
Statement:	Response:	Comments: Please detail any further supporting information for each response						
		This is variable across the schemes - some schemes were fully implemented, however timelines slipped for other						
1. Our BCF schemes were implemented as planned in 2015-16	Neither agree nor disagree	schemes.						
2. The delivery of our BCF plan in 2015-16 had a positive impact on the								
integration of health and social care in our locality	Agree							
3. The delivery of our BCF plan in 2015-16 had a positive impact in								
avoiding Non-Elective Admissions	Agree							
4. The delivery of our BCF plan in 2015-16 had a positive impact in								
reducing the rate of Delayed Transfers of Care	Neither agree nor disagree							
5. The delivery of our BCF plan in 2015-16 had a positive impact in								
reducing the proportion of older people (65 and over) who were still at								
home 91 days after discharge from hospital into reablement /								
rehabilitation services	Agree							
6. The delivery of our BCF plan in 2015-16 had a positive impact in								
reducing the rate of Permanent admissions of older people (aged 65 and								
over) to residential and nursing care homes	Agree							
7. The overall delivery of our BCF plan in 2015-16 has improved joint								
working between health and social care in our locality	Agree							
8. The implementation of a pooled budget through a Section 75								
agreement in 2015-16 has improved joint working between health and								
social care in our locality	Agree							
9. The implementation of risk sharing arrangements through the BCF in								
2015-16 has improved joint working between health and social care in								
our locality	Neither agree nor disagree							
10. The expenditure from the fund in 2015-16 has been in line with our								

Neither agree nor disagree

agreed plan

## Part 2: Successes and Challenges

Please use the below forms to detail up to 3 of your greatest successes, up to 3 of your greatest challenges and then categorise each success/challenge appropriately

11. What have been your greatest successes in delivering your BCF plan		
for 2015-16?	Response - Please detail your greatest <b>successes</b>	Response category:
Suppose 1	Mental Health Reablement Services	6.Developing organisations to enable effective collaborative health and
Success 1	Internal Health Readlement Services	social care working relationships
		2.Delivering excellent on the ground
Success 2	Progress with Locality Community Integration	care centred around the individual
		2.Delivering excellent on the ground
Success 3	Implementation of Local Area Coordination	care centred around the individual

12. What have been your greatest <b>challenges</b> in delivering your BCF plan		
for 2015-16?	Response - Please detail your greatest <b>challenges</b>	Response category:
		7.Other - please use the comment
Challenge 1	Capacity to take forward the BCF Schemes	box to provide details
		7.Other - please use the comment
Challenge 2	Delivering cash-releasing saings to support Adult Social Care	box to provide details
Challenge 3	Measuring success of individual schemes due to the level of variables and impacts of different schemes on the same cohorts.	5.Measuring success

### Footnotes:

Question 11 and 12 are free text responses, but should be assigned to one of the following categories (as used for previous BCF surveys):

- 1. Leading and managing successful Better Care Fund implementation
- 2. Delivering excellent on the ground care centred around the individual
- 3. Developing underpinning, integrated datasets and information systems
- 4. Aligning systems and sharing benefits and risks
- 5. Measuring success
- 6. Developing organisations to enable effective collaborative health and social care working relationships
- 7. Other please use the comment box to provide details

## **New Integration Metrics**

Selected Health and Well Being Board:	Isle of Wight

## 1. Proposed Metric: Use of NHS number as primary identifier across care settings

	GP	Hospital	Social Care	Community	Mental health	Specialised palliative
NHS Number is used as the consistent identifier on all relevant						
correspondence relating to the provision of health and care services to an						
individual	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Staff in this setting can retrieve relevant information about a service user's						
care from their local system using the NHS Number	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

## 2. Proposed Metric: Availability of Open APIs across care settings

Please indicate across which settings relevant service-user information is currently being shared digitally (via Open APIs or interim solutions)

	To GP	To Hospital	To Social Care	To Community	To Mental health	To Specialised palliative
			Not currently shared	Not currently shared	Not currently shared	Not currently shared
From GP	Shared via Open API	Shared via Open API	digitally	digitally	digitally	digitally
						Not currently shared
From Hospital	Shared via Open API	digitally				
	Not currently shared	Not currently shared	Not currently shared			Not currently shared
From Social Care	digitally	digitally	digitally	Shared via Open API	Shared via Open API	digitally
	Not currently shared					
From Community	digitally	digitally	digitally	digitally	digitally	digitally
		Not currently shared			Not currently shared	Not currently shared
From Mental Health	Shared via Open API	digitally	Shared via Open API	Shared via Open API	digitally	digitally
	Not currently shared					
From Specialised Palliative	digitally	digitally	digitally	digitally	digitally	digitally

In each of the following settings, please indicate progress towards instillation of Open APIs to enable information to be shared with other organisations

3 3 j.,	GP	Hospital	Social Care	Community	Mental health	Specialised palliative
Progress status		In development			In development	In development
Projected 'go-live' date (dd/mm/yy)	31/03/17	31/03/17	31/03/17	31/03/17	31/03/17	31/03/17

## 3. Proposed Metric: Is there a Digital Integrated Care Record pilot currently underway?

Is there a Digital Integrated Care Record pilot currently underway in your	
Health and Wellbeing Board area?	Pilot being scoped

## 4. Proposed Metric: Number of Personal Health Budgets per 100,000 population

Total number of PHBs in place at the end of the quarter	28
Rate per 100,000 population	20
Number of new PHBs put in place during the quarter	4
Number of existing PHBs stopped during the quarter	0
Of <b>all</b> residents using PHBs at the <b>end</b> of the quarter, what proportion are	
in receipt of NHS Continuing Healthcare (%)	100%
Population (Mid 2016)	140,484

## 5. Proposed Metric: Use and prevalence of Multi-Disciplinary/Integrated Care Teams

	Yes - throughout the
Are integrated care teams (any team comprising both health and social	Health and Wellbeing
care staff) in place and operating in the <b>non-acute</b> setting?	Board area
	Yes - throughout the
Are integrated care teams (any team comprising both health and social	Health and Wellbeing
care staff) in place and operating in the acute setting?	Board area

### Footnotes:

Population projections are based on Subnational Population Projections, Interim 2012-based (published May 2014). http://www.ons.gov.uk/ons/rel/snpp/sub-national-population-projections/2012-based-projections/stb-2012-based-snpp.html Q4 15/16 population figure has been updated to the mid-year 2016 estimates as we have moved into the new calendar year.

# **Narrative**

Selected Health and Well Being Board	Selected	Health	and \	Well	Being	<b>Board</b>
--------------------------------------	----------	--------	-------	------	-------	--------------

Isle of Wight

Please provide a brief narrative on year-end overall progress, reflecting on the first full year of the BCF. Please also make reference to performance on	
any metrics that are not directly reported on within this template (i.e. DTOCs).	
The BCF as a pooled fund is part of our much bigger system-wide My Life A Full Life Programme, which is one of the Vanguards for the New Models of Care. The BCF is not being treated as a separate programme but is incorporated within the wider integration agenda. However, the national reporting requirements around BCF have resulted in the setting up of dual bureaucracy. The commitment is to have one Island £ by April 2017 incorporating all CCG, social care and public health budgets. Improvements continue to be made on reducing non-elective admissions, but some system issues such as DTOC's still need to be addressed to improve the current position, although benchmarking to other areas remains good. DTOCs are being addressed through a comprehensive System Resilience plan and new DTOC policy.	

31,968

Remaining Characters