

Stakeholders Engaged (by name)	Whether by letter, individual or group meeting	Governance Review Doc shared?	High level response (in principle supportive/not and any high level comments/issues)
Isle of Wight Association of Local Councils (IWALC)	Letter via email	Yes	Provided a response on behalf of a number of Town and Parish Councils (see below)
Ryde Town Council	Via IWALC	Via IWALC	Not supportive: felt that the Islands interests would be better served with a Hampshire Partnership
Yarmouth Town Council	Via IWALC	Via IWALC	Not supportive: Does not support the concept of a Mayoral Combined Authority
Chillerton and Gatcombe Parish Council	Via IWALC	Via IWALC	Response related to time allowed for considering the options, no position offered
Freshwater Parish Council	Via IWALC	Via IWALC	Generally supportive but raised concerns in relation to loss of influence of the Isle of Wight
Cowes Town Council	Via IWALC	Via IWALC	Mainly supportive: Seen to be a positive way for the Island to retain its identity, however there was concern regarding the impact that that leaving the EU might place on this arrangement
Ventnor Town Council	Via IWALC	Via IWALC	Supportive: Ventnor Town Council looks forward to contributing further within the consultation process
Nettlestone and Seaview Parish Council	Via IWALC	Via IWALC	Supportive: Poll undertaken within the council indicated opposition and regret, but noted the limited options available to the council
Arreton Parish Council	Via IWALC	Via IWALC	Noted time limitations for consultation, response stated that the proposals should be considered but also raised queries regarding costs, not becoming part of this arrangement. Noted that there were obvious benefits and disadvantages to this scheme. Parish council felt it would be wrong to proceed until there was more detail on the responsibilities of the CA and local councils.
Havenstreet and Ashley Parish Council	Via IWALC	Via IWALC	Supportive: The council has no objection to a mayoral combined authority and hopes IW Council enhances the Island situation

## Solent Deal Strategic Governance Review: IOW responses

FSB	Letter via email	Yes	No response
Visit IOW	Letter via email	Yes	Supportive: welcomes the opportunity to be engaged within the consultation process, hopes that the Island's tourism industry, and its strategic importance, is a coherent and dynamic element of the MCA framework.
Bembridge Parish Council	Letter via email	Yes	Bembridge Parish Council has reviewed the scheme document and has raised a number of questions and comments in response. No position on the scheme is offered within their reply.
Chale Parish Council	Letter via email	Yes	No response
Shanklin Town Council	Letter via email	Yes	Awaiting response from Town Council meeting on the 14 <sup>th</sup> of July
Southern Vectis	Letter via email	Yes	Query received and responded to in respect of bus franchising and the city centric nature of this scheme. CX has responded to these queries by email
CLA	Letter via email	Yes	No response
IOW NHS Trust	Letter via email	Yes	No response
IOW CCG	Letter via email	Yes	No response
Police IOW district	Letter via email	Yes	Supportive noting that any work in this area will be dealt with by ACC for local policing
IOW Chamber of Commerce	Letter via email	Yes	Supportive
Isle help Advice Hub	Letter via email	Yes	No response
Isle of Wight Citizens Advice	Letter via email	Yes	Response received: The position is understood and a number of comments were made in relation to the concentration of authority and the way public services will operate across the Solent devolution area. Concerns were also raised about how changes will impact on the Citizens Advice service and maintaining the profile of the Isle of Wight within the devolution arrangements
Age UK	Letter via email	Yes	No response
Island Roads	Letter via email	Yes	Supportive: A number of comments and suggestions were made in support of the

## Solent Deal Strategic Governance Review: IOW responses

			project. Island Roads have asked to be involved in the development of the key route network. The position regarding the recent EU referendum result was also noted.
Amey	Letter via email	Yes	No response
Spectrum Housing	Letter via email	Yes	No response
Vectis Housing	Letter via email	Yes	Query received in respect of how an economically driven plan addresses key social and community issues faced by the Island
Red Funnel	Letter via email	Yes	Supportive: response received noting the requirement for robust governance. This response favours the MCA, but also raises some queries about how the funding will be allocated within the devolution region and required clarity on post 16 education activities.
Wightlink	Letter via email	Yes	No response
Hovertravel	Letter via email	Yes	No response
Isle of Wight College	Letter via email	Yes	Supportive: Interested in additional funding and local skills
RH Dr Julian Lewis MP [New Forest East]	By Southampton CC	Yes	N/A Offer to meet with IOW CX declined by Dr Lewis's Constituency office
Brighstone Parish Council [sent to IWALC in first instance]	Letter via email	Yes	Raised queries in respect of what would happen to funding if the Devolution deal did not go ahead.
Fishbourne Parish Council [Sent to IWALC in the first instance]	Via IWALC	Via IWALC	Raised queries in respect of planning matters/associate members/the Island position.
Gurnard Parish Council	Letter	Letter	Parish Councillors to make their own representations, but they were unanimous in their opposition to an elected mayor.
<b>Responses received after cut-off date 13<sup>th</sup> of July</b>			

## Solent Deal Strategic Governance Review: IOW responses

Shalfleet Parish Council [Sent to IWALC in the first instance]	Via IWALC	Via IWALC	Supportive: Shalfleet Parish Council agree in principle to The Solent Deal as long it is ensured The Island has proper representation.
Newport Town Council [Sent to IWALC in the first instance]	Via IWALC	Via IWALC	Not Supportive: Newport does not support a combined authority for the Island with a mayoral model.
Southern Vectis	Letter via email	Via CX	[aside from franchising] supportive of benefits to Island and Solent region and look forward to working in partnership in the future
Shanklin TC	Letter via email	Via CX	<p>Insufficient evidence to judge whether or not this was a worthwhile opportunity</p> <p>Not clear how much funding would be received for the Island</p> <p>IW could end up without enough infrastructure to support itself</p>