
PAPER B

Isle of Wight Council

Annual Audit Letter for the year ended 31 March 2016

October 2016

Ernst & Young LLP





Contents

xecutive Summary	2
urpose	
esponsibilities	7
inancial Statement Audit	
alue for Money	
ther Reporting Issues	16
ocused on your future	
ppendix A Audit Fees	22

In April 2015 Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd (PSAA) issued "Statement of responsibilities of auditors and audited bodies 2015-16". It is available from the Chief Executive of each audited body and via the PSAA website (www.psaa.co.uk)

The Statement of responsibilities serves as the formal terms of engagement between appointed auditors and audited bodies. It summarises where the different responsibilities of auditors and audited bodies begin and end, and what is to be expected of the audited body in certain areas.

The 'Terms of Appointment from 1 April 2015' issued by PSAA sets out additional requirements that auditors must comply with, over and above those set out in the National Audit Office Code of Audit Practice (the Code) and statute, and covers matters of practice and procedure which are of a recurring nature.

This Annual Audit Letter is prepared in the context of the Statement of responsibilities. It is addressed to the Members of the audited body, and is prepared for their sole use. We, as appointed auditor, take no responsibility to any third party.

Our Complaints Procedure – If at any time you would like to discuss with us how our service to you could be improved, or if you are dissatisfied with the service you are receiving, you may take the issue up with your usual partner or director contact. If you prefer an alternative route, please contact Steve Varley, our Managing Partner, 1 More London Place, London SE1 2AF. We undertake to look into any complaint carefully and promptly and to do all we can to explain the position to you. Should you remain dissatisfied with any aspect of our service, you may of course take matters up with our professional institute. We can provide further information on how you may contact our professional institute.



Executive Summary

We are required to issue an annual audit letter to Isle of Wight Council (the Council) following completion of our audit procedures for the year ended 31 March 2016.

Below are the results and conclusions on the significant areas of the audit process.

Area of Work	Conclusion
Opinion on the Council's and Pension Fund's: ► Financial statements	Unqualified – the financial statements give a true and fair view of the financial position of the Council and Pension Fund as at 31 March 2016 and of its expenditure and income for the year then ended
 Consistency of other information published with the financial statements 	Other information published with the financial statements was consistent with the Annual Accounts.
Concluding on the Council's arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness	We concluded that you have put in place proper arrangements to secure value for money in your use of resources

Area of Work	Conclusion
Reports by exception:	
 Consistency of Governance Statement 	The Governance Statement was consistent with our understanding of the Council.
► Public interest report	We had no matters to report in the public interest.
 Written recommendations to the Council, which should be copied to the Secretary of State 	We had no matters to report.
 Other actions taken in relation to our responsibilities under the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 	We had no matters to report.

Area of Work	Conclusion
Reporting to the National Audit Office (NAO) on our review of the Council's Whole of Government Accounts return (WGA).	We had no significant matters to report.

As a result of the above we have also:

Area of Work	Conclusion
Issued a report to those charged with governance of the Council communicating significant findings resulting from our audit.	Our Audit Results Reports were issued on 13 September 2016 and presented to the 26 September 2016 meeting of the Audit Committee for both the main Council and Pension Fund audits.
Issued a certificate that we have completed the audit in accordance with the requirements of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 and the National Audit Office's 2015 Code of Audit Practice.	Our certificate was issued on 27 September 2016.

We would like to take this opportunity to thank the Council and Pension Fund's staff for their assistance during the course of our work.

Helen Thompson Executive Director For and on behalf of Ernst & Young LLP



Purpose

The Purpose of this Letter

The purpose of this annual audit letter is to communicate to Members and external stakeholders, including members of the public, the key issues arising from our work, which we consider should be brought to the attention of the Council.

We have already reported the detailed findings from our audit work in our 2015/16 Audit Results Report to the 26 September meeting of the Audit Committee, representing those charged with governance. We do not repeat those detailed findings in this letter. The matters reported here are the most significant for the Council.



Responsibilities

Responsibilities of the Appointed Auditor

Our 2015/16 audit work has been undertaken in accordance with the Audit Plan that we issued on 25 January 2016 and is conducted in accordance with the National Audit Office's 2015 Code of Audit Practice, International Standards on Auditing (UK and Ireland), and other guidance issued by the National Audit Office.

As auditors we are responsible for:

- Expressing an opinion:
 - on the 2015/16 financial statements; and
 - ▶ on the consistency of other information published with the financial statements.
- Forming a conclusion on the arrangements the Council has to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.
- Reporting by exception:
 - ▶ if the annual governance statement is misleading or not consistent with our understanding of the Council;
 - ▶ any significant matters that are in the public interest;
 - ▶ any written recommendations to the Council, which should be copied to the Secretary of State; and
 - ▶ if we have discharged our duties and responsibilities as established by thy Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 and Code of Audit Practice.

Alongside our work on the financial statements, we also review and report to the National Audit Office (NAO) on your Whole of Government Accounts return. The extent of our review and the nature of our report are specified by the NAO.

Responsibilities of the Council

The Council is responsible for preparing and publishing its statement of accounts accompanied by an Annual Governance Statement (AGS). In the AGS, the Council reports publicly each year on how far it complies with its own code of governance, including how it has monitored and evaluated the effectiveness of its governance arrangements in year, and any changes planned in the coming period.

The Council is also responsible for putting in place proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.



Financial Statement Audit

Key Issues

The Council's Statement of Accounts is an important tool for the Council to show how it has used public money and how it can demonstrate its financial management and financial health.

We audited the Council and Pension Fund's Statement of Accounts in line with the National Audit Office's 2015 Code of Audit Practice, International Standards on Auditing (UK and Ireland), and other guidance issued by the National Audit Office. We issued unqualified audit reports on 27 September 2016.

Our detailed findings were included in our Audit Results Reports for both the main Council and Pension Fund audits presented to the 26 September meeting of the Audit Committee. In those reports, which were issued on 13 September 2016, we noted that there were some areas where our work was outstanding and was to be completed. We completed work in those areas and this enabled us to issue unqualified audit reports on the Council and Pension Fund financial statements. There were no matters arising from the completion of our work that needed to be reported to the Audit Committee.

The key issues identified as part of our audit were as follows:

Significant Risk Conclusion

Management override of controls

A risk present on all audits is that management is in a unique position to perpetrate fraud because of its ability to manipulate accounting records directly or indirectly, and prepare fraudulent financial statements by overriding controls that otherwise appear to be operating effectively.

Auditing standards require us to respond to this risk by testing the appropriateness of journals, testing accounting estimates for possible management bias and obtaining an understanding of the business rationale for any significant unusual transactions.

We completed our testing in respect of the procedures set out in our Audit Plan and found no evidence of management override or bias as a result of our work.

We also identified three non-significant audit risks during the planning phase of our audit, relating to:

- ▶ the Island Roads Private Finance Initiative;
- ▶ the Council's new waste management contract; and
- ▶ the Council's proposed changed approach to the calculation of minimum revenue provision.

We also reported these non-significant risks to you in our Audit Plan. Following completion of our work we concluded that none of these risks had a significant impact on our responsibilities.



Value for Money

We are required to consider whether the Council has put in place 'proper arrangements' to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness on its use of resources. This is known as our value for money conclusion.

Proper arrangements are defined by statutory guidance issued by the National Audit Office. They comprise your arrangements to:

- take informed decisions;
- · deploy resources in a sustainable manner; and
- work with partners and other third parties.



We issued an unqualified value for money conclusion on 27 September 2016.

We identified a significant risk that the Council would not be able to plan its finances effectively to support the sustainable delivery of strategic priorities and maintain statutory functions.

We concluded that the Council's current financial position and future financial outlook remains highly challenging. Our review of the last iteration of its medium term financial plan would have led us to conclude that the Council was not able to plan finances effectively to support the sustainable delivery of strategic priorities and maintain statutory functions. We therefore would have qualified the value for money conclusion.

However, subsequent developments, and particularly the potential to reduce the annual Minimum Revenue Provision charge and extend, and more evenly profile, pressures on the revenue budget over the next five years, have given the Council more time to implement changes to address the financial challenge it faces. It is now essential that this is done. This is likely to require further difficult decisions on priorities and levels of service provision to be taken, together with a change in the Council's focus away from solely reducing cost and organisational capacity to thinking differently about how financial change might be achieved through regeneration and revenue growth, and how available capital funding can be used to better support this.



Other Reporting Issues

Whole of Government Accounts

We performed the procedures required by the National Audit Office on the accuracy of the consolidation pack prepared by the Council for Whole of Government Accounts purposes.

We had no significant issues to report.

Annual Governance Statement

We are required to consider the completeness of disclosures in the Council's Annual Governance Statement, identify any inconsistencies with the other information of which we are aware from our work, and consider whether it is misleading.

We completed this work and did not identify any areas of concern.

Report in the Public Interest

We have a duty under the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 to consider whether, in the public interest, to report on any matter that comes to our attention in the course of the audit in order for it to be considered by the Council or brought to the attention of the public.

We did not identify any issues which required us to issue a report in the public interest.

Written Recommendations

We have a duty under the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 to designate any audit recommendation as one that requires the Council to consider it at a public meeting and to decide what action to take in response.

We did not identify any issues which required us to issue a written recommendation.

Objections Received

We did not receive any objections to the 2015/16 financial statements from members of the public.

Other Powers and Duties

We identified no issues during our audit that required us to use our additional powers under the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014.

Independence

We communicated our assessment of independence in our Audit Results Report to the Audit Committee meeting on 26 September 2016. In our professional judgement the firm is independent and the objectivity of the audit engagement partner and audit staff has not been compromised within the meaning regulatory and professional requirements.

Control Themes and Observations

As part of our work, we obtained an understanding of internal control sufficient to plan our audit and determine the nature, timing and extent of testing performed. Although our audit was not designed to express an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control, we are required to communicate to you significant deficiencies in internal control identified during our audit. We did not identify any significant deficiencies in the design or operation of an internal control that might result in a material misstatement in your financial statements of which you are not aware.



Focused on your future

Area Issue Impact

Financial challenges and budget pressures

The very significant financial challenge faced by the Council remains the single biggest risk to sustainable public services on the Island of Wight. This was the main focus of our work to support our 2015/16 VFM conclusion which we reported in our Audit Results Report.

Subsequent to the issue of our report, proposals for an updated Medium Term Financial Strategy and Efficiency Plan covering the period 2016/17 tO 2020/21 have now been presented to the 13 October meeting of the Executive. This shows that across the next four years a further £33 million of savings through either service reduction, income generation or improved funding will be required in order to balance the Council's budget.

The proposals set out a change in approach to medium term financial planning by the Council. The revised strategy seeks to take a holistic approach to the financial challenges facing the Council. It is targeted to provide short term viability to give time for plans to be implemented which will support longer term sustainability and seek to maximise the opportunity to protect Council services in the future.

The effectiveness of the Council's revised medium term financial planning, and particularly the success of its work to improve efficiency, grow revenues, develop the Island economy and stop or minimise low impact services will be key to its success. This will continue to be a point of focus for

our future work.

EU referendum

Following the majority vote to end the UK's membership of the European Union (EU) in the EU Referendum held on 23 June 2016 there is a heightened level of volatility in the financial markets and increased macroeconomic uncertainty in the UK. All three major rating agencies (S&P, Fitch and Moody's) took action on the UK Sovereign credit rating and, following the rating action on the UK Government. For entities in the public sector, there is likely to be an impact on investment property valuations if confidence in the wider UK property market falls; and the valuation of defined benefit pension obligations may also be affected. It is too early to estimate the quantum of any impact of these issues, but there is likely to be significant ongoing uncertainty for a number of months while the UK renegotiates its relationships with the EU and other nations.

Many of the issues and challenges that face the UK public sector will continue to exist, not least because continued pressure on public finances will need responding to. Additionally it may well be that the challenges are increased if the expected economic impacts of the referendum and loss of EU grants outweigh the benefits of not having to contribute to the EU and require even more innovative solutions.

We are committed to supporting our clients through this period, and help identify the opportunities that will also arise. We will engage with you on the concerns and questions you may have, provide our insight at key points along the path, and provide any papers and analysis of the impact of the referendum on the Government and Public Sector market.

Area	Issue	Impact
Highways Network Asset (HNA)	The Code of Practice on Transport Infrastructure Assets (TIA Code) was first published in 2010 and updated in 2013. The key aim of this document was to improve the asset management of TIA. During 2016, this guidance has been renamed and updated, with the Highways Network Asset (HNA) Code, Guidance Notes and Accounting Guidance being published. Local Government has historically used depreciated historic cost as the valuation approach for infrastructure assets. The introduction of the HNA Code will see this valuation basis change to depreciated replacement cost with effect from 1 April 2016. The change will be applied prospectively from that date, so Highways Authorities are not required to disclose comparative information.	The impact on the Council's Balance Sheet will be highly significant; with the recognition of a single highways network asset of approximately £5 billion. The impact on the audit will also be significant, as auditors will need to obtain sufficient assurance over the material accuracy of this asset. We will work closely with the Council at both the local level, regarding system implementation, valuation procedures and accounting, and at the wider level through the continuation of our HNA Client Workshops.
	This is a fundamental change in approach which will require new accounting and estimation approaches as well as amendments to existing systems, or implementation of new systems.	



Appendix A Audit Fees

The table below sets out the scale fee and our final proposed audit fees

Description	Final Fee 2015/16 £	Planned Fee 2015/16 £	Scale Fee 2015/16 £
Total Audit Fee - Code work	132,543*	125,543	125,543
Total Audit Fee - Certification of claims and returns	14,711**	14,711	14,711
Harbours – agreed upon procedures	2,511	2,511	2,511

^{*}Our proposed final audit fee is £7,000 greater than the scale fee reflecting the additional work we have undertaken to consider the Council's revised approach to the calculation of minimum revenue provision and the proposed accounting treatment for the new waste management contract. The proposed final audit fee has been agreed by the Council but is subject to final confirmation by PSAA.

We confirm we have not undertaken any non-audit work outside of PSAA's requirements.

^{**} Our work to certify claims and returns remains ongoing as at 17 October 2016. The final fee is therefore subject to change dependent on any issues arising in completion of our work in this area.

EY | Assurance | Tax | Transactions | Advisory

Ernst & Young LLP

 $\ensuremath{^{\odot}}$ Ernst & Young LLP. Published in the UK. All Rights Reserved.

ED None

The UK firm Ernst & Young LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales with registered number OC300001 and is a member firm of Ernst & Young Global Limited.

Ernst & Young LLP, 1 More London Place, London, SE1 2AF.

ey.com