APPENDIX D

RECEIVED GANS 6100 Ke High St,
24 NOV 2015 Carobrooke
BY: Newport,
Isle of Wight.
Po30 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 1
Dead Lin / Machen
Dear Sir Masam
you about a drinks and entertainment
License for 44 Caristrooke High Street
for Stephen Robert Kennedy.
What was once a Cale It is now
proposed in becoming 4 bar. I am against this proposed 95
I have right next that which is a
terraced property with number 44.
This is mainly besidential and
This grea is mainly residential and
peoples Swelings is going to Cause
peoples owelings is going to cause
ne hour of problems of the following
The page
o lot of problems. I sind not buy my house when it was a Cafe/bar next soon to me. The proposed times for opening and closing will
John Officially all Closing

Cause me and my neibours to have disruptions in trying to fleep and the noise levels of taxi's and cars pulling up outside my property as it is terraced building with number HH Carisbrooke High street. Also there will be no respirte as it is proposed the opening hours one for Jeven nights a week 'This is mainly y residential area and most of us enjoy peace and quiet. The proposed times are very late and New years eve is for closing of 5 am. If this goes ahead sont think poured be getting sleep of all. As fam terminally ill & value my peace and quiet and Can't Manage gleep Septivation and high noise levels as I have to sleep with my bedroom window open due to breathing problems Also planned from number 44 Caribbrooke highst is live music, lim

Sure number 44 howert Sound proved their walls, so parte have that to Contend with. By putting a bat in the missle of a residential alea is only going to Cause huge problems
for us all. As I say again when I
bought my property next Soon was not
a cafe/bar, if it had of been P would never have purchased my house proposal from HA Caristicole Highst Please take into Consideration that myself and my neibours will suffer greatly if the Kennedy achieves farth fully

Additional comments received from a local resident:

Regarding my objection to number 44 Carisbrooke High Street obtaining a license to sell alcohol to the general public.

This area is mainly residential and my property is attached to number 44, in the prevention of public nuisance I can hear people leaving and entering number 44, which interferes with my sleep pattern. My health suffers because of sleep deprivation. Being woken up by people talking outside is a real nuisance as with drinking alcohol their voices are louder.

The prevention of smells is not working the extraction smells are in my garden, conservatory and bathroom causing me to close all windows etc. The machinery used to extract has always been substandard and does not remove smells correctly. A suitable extraction system should be installed to protect the amenity of neighbouring properties.

The place used for smoking means second hand smoke blows into my garden as only a wooden fence divides our properties. I have to breathe this in which impacts greatly on my health or stay indoors to avoid it.

Also the noise while people are outside impacts on me as well. The original application stated that the residential properties near number 44 Carisbrooke high st would not result in a loss of living standards due to noise and smell this has never been upheld.

The noise of loud voices and music being played on Saturday 19th December was awful I could not sleep, this is not acceptable to neighbouring properties. I would be grateful if you could take these factures into consideration.

Sunday 20th December 7.40pm horrendous smell coming into my bedroom from next door number 44 had to cover nose and mouth it was that bad I was feeling physically sick. Had to leave bedroom coudn,t sleep there because of smell. Smell was down stairs in lounge but not as bad as bedroom smell is coming in via chimney. THIS IS AWFUL what quality of life is this. Will not be able to sleep in bedroom will have to sleep on settee. Surely this cant be acceptable for anyone to put up with this.

At 11.25pm – I was woken up by people having a conversation but they were shouting and being very loud just outside the premises. I think there were leaving the premises and locking up etc.

Representations received from Newport Parish Council:

COMMENT.

The main issue here is the introduction of a use with late opening hours and the sale of alcohol on and off the premises in an area of mixed use although, in this particular location, relatively high density residential development.

Having discussed the matter at some length Members found the application, as submitted, unacceptable and wish to lodge a formal <u>OBJECTION</u>. Our Members believe that if you give due regard and appropriate weight to the character of the area the application, as submitted, will conflict with specific licensing objectives, especially prevention of public nuisance.

Consequently the Parish Council objects to any off sales of alcohol and believe that alcohol should only be provided for customers enjoying a meal. That the opening hours, provision of late refreshments should be until 0000hrs (midnight), extended to 0100hrs (Fri and Sat and Xmas Eve) and 0130hrs New Years Eve.

Thank you.

Additional comments following amendments to the application:

As you know, the Committee were not comfortable with aspects of the application and instructed me to lodge an objection, based on their opposition to off sales in a predominantly residential area and that 'opening hours' should be until 0000hrs (midnight), 0100hrs (Fri/Sat and Xmas Eve) and 0130hrs (New Years Eve).

I explained that we had had a response from you asking us to clarify the precise nature of our objections, to confirm that the real concern focuses on late closing time and the fact that the previous license (different user it is assumed) was allowed off sales and, as a consequence the Sub-Committee would not, or could not, support our objection on that point. Our amended comment is as follows.

Our view is very clear that a late night commercial use of this nature would have to be strictly controlled because the immediate area is quite clearly predominantly (high density) residential and that the proposed use, as set out in the application, may well compromise the prevention of public nuisance objective through noise and disturbance quite late at night largely due to people leaving the premises and individuals using the off sales facility late in the evening.

A very real concern is the worrying prospect that on New Year's Eve the premises may stay open until 0530/0600 the next morning! Quite frankly, this is a ludicrous suggestion and unacceptable for the reason outlined in the preceding paragraph.

On the final point the issue of the previous license was noted. Members accepted your advice but feel that the restriction on off sales just be exactly the same as the previous license...Sunday (2100), Mon-Thu (2200) and Fri-Sat (2300). Our Committee believe that that is entirely reasonable, again for the reasons set out above.

Thank you.

χ,